Appendix A

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.		
1	N/A	N/A	It represents one part of the development plan for the parish over the period 2017 to 2031, the other part being the Harborough District Local Plan which is yet to be formally adopted.	Page 5, first para and first line on page 6, change reference to "2017" to "2018"	To correct an error
2	Section 1	Introduction	This document represents the Neighbourhood Plan for South Kilworth parish. It represents one part of the development plan for the parish over the period 2017 to 2031, the other part being the Harborough District Local Plan which is yet to be formally adopted.	Page 5, first para, change to "the period 2018-2031. Once made (or adopted) the Neighbourhood Plan sits alongside the adopted District-wide plan and thus forms part of the development plan, against which planning decisions are considered."	clarity
3	Section 1	Introduction	The Harborough District Core Strategy was adopted in 2011 and therefore, under the guidance provided by the NPPF, is no longer up to date. A new Local Plan is currently in preparation and the Neighbourhood Plan has taken the provisions within this emerging document into account. It also addresses issues relating to sustainable development. The NPPF states that there are three dimensions to sustainable development: social, environmental and economic, all of which are important and interrelated and are addressed through the policies in this Neighbourhood Plan.	Page 7, change to "The Harborough District Core Strategy 2006- 2028 was adopted in 2011. The Core Strategy is now considered out of date in terms of policies relating to housing and economic development, but its other policies remain and form part of the District's development plan, alongside Saved policies from the Harborough Local Plan (2001). A new Local Plan is currently emerging and plan-makers have had regard to relevant information supporting this emerging document.  The Neighbourhood Plan takes into account the need to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. The NPPF statesPlan. Section 5.0 of the Neighbourhood	precision

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				Plan considers the achievement of sustainable development in more detail."	
4	Section 5.1 para 1	Achieving Sustainable Development	The purpose of the UK Planning System as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) is to achieve sustainable development in economic, environmental and social terms.	Page 16, first sentence to "The purpose of the planning system, as set out in"	Accuracy
5	Section 5.1 last para	Achieving Sustainable Development	The Neighbourhood Plan is not intended to replace the policies and regulations contained in the Harborough core strategy and the emerging local plan or the requirements of the NPPF. It supports these policies and regulations to give additional, more detailed, Parish-wide specific policies and this clarifies the Community's vision. Where suitable District-wide policies already exist in the Harborough core strategy, emerging local plan or NPPF they are not duplicated in this Neighbourhood Plan.	Page 16, last para, change to "to replace adopted policies in the development plan or the requirements of the NPPF. It supports these policies to give additionalalready exist, they are not duplicated in this Neighbourhood Plan."	For clarity and precision
6	Policy H1	Housing Allocation	POLICY H1: HOUSING ALLOCATION - Land is allocated for residential development on the following sites (see map Fig 2): Opposite Leys Crescent (around 5 dwellings); Abattoir site (around 10 dwellings).	Policy H1, change to "Land is allocated at the Abattoir site shown on Figure 2 for around 10 dwellings. Proposals to increase the number of dwellings from the 25 currently permitted on the land indicated on Figure 2 as "Opposite Leys Crescent" will be supported."	For Clarity

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.		
7	Policy H1	Housing	'Abbatoir site'  South Kilworth	Change title of Figure 2 to "Housing allocation and potential for growth"	For clarity
8	6.2 - paras 2 and 3	Housing Provision	As Harborough District Council have a statutory duty to provide adequate housing throughout the District, they have calculated a minimum requirement for each Parish based upon a housing distribution strategy agreed by the Executive Committee. Any planning approvals after April 2017 will count towards this target, but recently approved planning approvals relating to 25 dwellings opposite Leys	6.2, delete second and third paras and replace with "In line with Harborough District Council's proposed strategy, the Neighbourhood Plan seeks to ensure that sufficient provision is made to provide for sustainable housing growth appropriate to the status of the settlement. Consequently, the Neighbourhood Plan seeks to ensure that the Neighbourhood	clarity

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

	Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		<u> </u>
		-	
	Crescent and 2 windfall units approved earlier in 2017 are excluded from the required numbers as they already have planning approval.  This approach has set South Kilworth a housing target up to 2031 of 20 units, including windfall developments but excluding developments with planning approvals up to April 2017.	Area can provide for the development of at least 20 dwellings over the plan period."	
Housing Allocations	The only sites that came forward through this process were deemed unsuitable for residential development by Harborough District Council, with the exception of a proposal for about 10 units at the Abattoir site in the village.	6.3, second para (top of page 18), change last sentence to "The only site that emerged through this process as suitable for residential development was the Abattoir site in the village."	clarity
	However, at a meeting with Planning Officers at Harborough District Council the potential to develop an additional 5 units at the already approved site opposite Leys Crescent was agreed along with the incorporation of around 5 windfall units through the Plan period. This makes up a total of 20 dwellings, in line with the HDC target.	6.3, delete last sentence and replace with "Further to consideration together with the landowner and with officers from Harborough District Council, it was determined that the Neighbourhood Plan would support the provision of more housing on land opposite Leys Crescent (which already has planning permission for 25 dwellings). Assessments indicated that the addition of around 5	
2	Allocations	development by Harborough District Council, with the exception of a proposal for about 10 units at the Abattoir site in the village.  However, at a meeting with Planning Officers at Harborough District Council the potential to develop an additional 5 units at the already approved site opposite Leys Crescent was agreed along with the incorporation of around 5 windfall units through the Plan period. This makes up a total of 20 dwellings, in	development by Harborough District Council, with the exception of a proposal for about 10 units at the Abattoir site in the village.  However, at a meeting with Planning Officers at Harborough District Council the potential to develop an additional 5 units at the already approved site opposite Leys Crescent was agreed along with the incorporation of around 5 windfall units through the Plan period. This makes up a total of 20 dwellings, in line with the HDC target.  through this process as suitable for residential development was the Abattoir site in the village."  6.3, delete last sentence and replace with "Further to consideration together with the landowner and with officers from Harborough District Council, it was determined that the Neighbourhood Plan would support the provision of more housing on land opposite Leys Crescent (which already has planning permission for 25 dwellings). Assessments

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	I		Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		I
		Development	development proposals within the Plan area will be supported on sites within the Limits to Development as identified in Figure 3 (above) where it complies with the policies of this Neighbourhood Plan and subject to design and amenity considerations.  Land outside the defined Limits to Development will be treated as open countryside, where development will be carefully controlled in line with local and national strategic planning policies.	proposals will be supported on sites within the Limits to Development identified on Figure 3." (delete rest of Policy)	
11	6.4 – first 5 paras	Limits to Development	The purpose of Limits to Development is to ensure that sufficient sites for new homes are available in appropriate locations that will avoid impinging into the local countryside.  Limits to Development are referred to in the CS policy CS2a)/Appendix 3 as being a 'retained' policy, namely Policy HS/8 from the Harborough District Local Plan (2001) in which Limits to Development for particular settlements were defined.  The Plan proposes to designate Limits to Development for South Kilworth to direct development to the most sustainable locations and also to ensure that sufficient land to meet residential need is available in the right locations. This will update and supersede the existing Limits to Development currently used by Harborough District Council, as it takes into account the housing allocations that are being made through this Plan	6.4, delete first five paragraphs of supporting text and replace with "The Limits to Development policy provides a supportive planning policy framework for residential development within South Kilworth village. Focusing development within the Limits of Development contributes to the achievement of sustainable development by supporting existing services and providing for the majority of development to take place within the largely built-up part of the Neighbourhood Area.  The "Limits to Development" approach carries forward an approach previously used by Harborough District Council to support the provision of new housing where it helped to sustain existing communities.	The supporting text to Policy H2 is unclear and imprecise. There are no up to date District-wide housing policies.

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		
			and those that have been approved by Harborough District Council since the Core Strategy was adopted. Within the defined Limits to Development an appropriate amount of suitably designed and located development will be acceptable in principle, although some sites within this area are protected from development.  Focusing development within the agreed Limits to Development will help to support existing services within the village centre and help to protect the countryside and the remainder of the Plan area from inappropriate development.	It is noted that setting "Limits to Development" does not prevent development elsewhere in the Neighbourhood Area. Indeed, in respect of housing, Paragraph 79 of the NPPF provides for the development of appropriate new housing in the countryside, subject to a range of factors."	
12	Section 6.4	Methodology	The updated Limits to Development have been determined using the following criteria:  a) Existing commitments by virtue of an extant (existing) planning permission for residential development on the fringes of the settlement have been incorporated; b) Residential allocations through the Neighbourhood Plan have been taken into account; c) Clearly defined physical features such as walls, fences, hedgerows, gardens, streams, brooks, allotments and roads have been followed.	Page 19, "Methodology," change to "The Limits to Development were determined using the followingb) The residential allocation in the Neighbourhood"	Clarity and precision
			It is national and local planning policy that development in the countryside should be carefully controlled. Supporting "the intrinsic character and	Page 20, penultimate sentence, change to "controlled. "Recognising the intrinsic character and	

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
		1	Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		
			beauty of the countryside and supporting thriving rural communities within it" is identified as a core planning principle in the National Planning Policy Framework.	beauty of the countryside" is highlighted in the NPPF as being something planning policies should contribute towards."	
			This approach to development in the open countryside is strongly supported by this Neighbourhood Plan, in particular, because it will ensure that any development is constructed in the built-up form of South Kilworth. The following policy (S2) will also maintain the special and unique landscape character of South Kilworth Parish and protect the open countryside for what it is, an attractive, accessible, distinct and non-renewable natural resource.	Page 20, delete last para (Policy H2 does not (and cannot) ensure that any development will take place in the village)	
13	Policy H3	Housing Mix	POLICY H3: HOUSING MIX – New development should provide for a mixture of housing types having regard to identified local housing needs. The provision of bungalows suitable for elderly and/or mobility impaired people (providing wheelchair access) and dwellings of up to three bedrooms will be supported. Dwellings of 4 or more bedrooms will be expected to comprise a minority of the total number of new dwellings in any multi-house development.	No changes recommended	Meets the basic conditions
14	Policy H4	Affordable Housing	POLICY H4: AFFORDABLE HOUSING - 40% of all new housing development on sites for more than ten	Policy H3, change last sentence to "This could include shared ownership homes, starter	The last sentence of the Policy is unclear, as it

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	<u> </u>		Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		
			dwellings, or on sites of more than 1,000 square metres, should comprise affordable housing. The provision of shared ownership and starter homes is supported as is affordable homes for people with a local connection.	homes and homes for people with a local connection, all of which is supported."	could be read as comprising a local connections Policy, which is not the case.
15	Policy H5	Windfall Development	POLICY H5: WINDFALL DEVELOPMENT - Development proposals for infill and re- development sites will be supported where: a) The development comprises 3 dwellings or fewer. b) The location is within the Limits to Development for South Kilworth; c) It respects local character; d) It retains existing important natural boundaries such as gardens, trees, hedges and streams; e) It provides for a safe vehicular and pedestrian access to the site and appropriate off-road car parking;	Change Policy H5 to "Residential development proposals will be supported within the Limits to Development for South Kilworth subject to development: a) respecting local character; b)retaining; and c) not reducing garden"	To ensure the policy is not ambiguous
			Windfall sites are small infill or redevelopment sites that come forward unexpectedly and which have not been specifically identified for new housing in a planning document. These sites often comprise redundant or vacant buildings including barns, or a gap between existing properties in a built-up street scene. This Neighbourhood Plan defines windfall sites as developments of three units or less in one	First para of supporting text, change to "Windfall sites comprise sites that have not been allocated for new housing and which may come forward unexpectedly during the plan period. These sites may comprise redundantscene." (delete last sentence in para)	To correct an inaccuracy in definition of windfall housing and to provide clarity

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propos <i>italics</i> .		
			Such sites have made a small but regular contribution towards the housing supply in the Parish for a considerable time. As there remain only limited opportunities for windfall development, there is evidence that windfalls will continue to make a small contribution to housing provision in the Parish over the next fifteen years. It is anticipated, and agreed by Harborough District Council, that 5 units will be provided by windfall during the lifetime of the Plan.	Second para of supporting text, change to "time. It is anticipated that windfalls will continue to make a valuable contribution to housing provision in the Parish over the plan period. Whilst Policy H5 provides support for windfall development within the Limits to Development, identified in Policy H2, it does not serve to prevent appropriate windfall development, in line with national policy, from coming forward elsewhere within the Neighbourhood Area."  (delete rest of supporting text)	
16	Policy H6	Built Heritage	POLICY H6: BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES OF LOCAL HISTORICAL AND ARCHITECTURAL INTEREST - Development proposals that affect an identified non-designated building or structure of local historical or architectural interest or its setting will be expected to conserve or enhance the character, integrity and setting of that building or structure. The buildings of local interest currently identified are: Church Clock/War Memorial Observatory Welford Road Thatched Cottages Village Green	Policy H6, change to "Proposals affecting the following non-designated heritage assets will be assessed having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset: (LIST THE FIVE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS HERE)  Change title of Policy to "Non-Designated Heritage Assets."	Policy H6 as written simply requires development to conserve or enhance non-designated heritage assets. Such an approach fails to provide for a balanced judgement and thus fails to have regard to the requirements of Paragraph 197 of the Framework

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

olicy tle	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	The Malt Shovel  South Kilworth's historic character is one of its most important assets, and the community wishes to see it protected and enhanced.  Consultation has shown a desire to balance the need to protect the character of the village with the need for the village to grow and evolve in a sensitive and proportionate manner in order to sustain the community and its facilities.  There are 10 buildings/structures in the parish that have been granted national 'Listed Building' Status, in recognition of their special historical or architectural interest. These are listed in the supporting information.  Their designation as a Listed Building gives them special legal protection. It is important, however, that the Neighbourhood Plan highlights them, especially to ensure that all parties are aware of their local importance and merit, and the need to protect and enhance these structures. Development proposals that affect a Listed Building or any other nationally designated heritage asset will be considered in accordance with national and district-	Delete supporting text and replace with "South Kilworth's historic character is one of its most important assets, and the community wishes to see it protected and enhanced. As well as containing a number of protected Listed Buildings, the village contains other important buildings and structures that make a valuable contribution to local heritage.  Policy H6 identifies these "non-designated heritage assets" to ensure that their importance to the community and South Kilworth's heritage is recognised."	Clarity
	•	Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <i>italics</i> .  The Malt Shovel  South Kilworth's historic character is one of its most important assets, and the community wishes to see it protected and enhanced.  Consultation has shown a desire to balance the need to protect the character of the village with the need for the village to grow and evolve in a sensitive and proportionate manner in order to sustain the community and its facilities.  There are 10 buildings/structures in the parish that have been granted national 'Listed Building' Status, in recognition of their special historical or architectural interest. These are listed in the supporting information.  Their designation as a Listed Building gives them special legal protection. It is important, however, that the Neighbourhood Plan highlights them, especially to ensure that all parties are aware of their local importance and merit, and the need to protect and enhance these structures. Development proposals that affect a Listed Building or any other nationally designated heritage asset will be	Where modifications are recommended, they are presented in <b>bold print</b> , with any proposed new wording in <b>italics</b> .  The Malt Shovel  South Kilworth's historic character is one of its most important assets, and the community wishes to see it protected and enhanced. Consultation has shown a desire to balance the need to protect the character of the village with the need for the village to grow and evolve in a sensitive and proportionate manner in order to sustain the community and its facilities. There are 10 buildings/structures in the parish that have been granted national 'Listed Building' Status, in recognition of their special historical or architectural interest. These are listed in the supporting information. Their designation as a Listed Building gives them special legal protection. It is important, however, that the Neighbourhood Plan highlights them, especially to ensure that all parties are aware of their local importance and merit, and the need to protect and enhance these structures. Development proposals that affect a Listed Building or any other nationally designated heritage asset will be considered in accordance with national and district-wide planning policies. In addition to these nationally recognised Listed

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	I	1	Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.		
			there are other buildings and structures that have been identified as being locally important to the architectural and historic heritage of South Kilworth and need to be preserved and enhanced. These buildings will be Locally Listed.		
17	Policy H7	Design	POLICY H7: DESIGN - Development including one or more houses, replacement dwellings and extensions should be designed to have a positive and distinctive character by incorporating, where appropriate, the features listed in the design guide in Appendix 1. All proposals for new houses will include a Design and Access Statement that highlights areas of compliance and non-compliance with each of the design points listed in the design guide.	Policy H7, change to "All development should be designed to make a positive contribution to its surroundings." (delete rest of policy)	Policy H7 seeks to provide for good design and in this respect, it has regard to national policy. However, the Policy goes on to require development proposals to "incorporate features" identified in an
			The design policy has been the subject of consultation within the Parish and sets out general principles and guidance so building character can be maintained and enhanced.	Supporting text, delete last sentence ("The designenhanced.")	Appendix to the Neighbourhood Plan.  Policy H7 does not provide an opportunity to elevate an Appendix to the Neighbourhood Plan to something which has legal planning policy status.

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		
18	Policy ENV1	Protection of Local Green Spaces	POLICY ENV 1: PROTECTION OF LOCAL GREEN SPACES – Development proposals that would result in the loss of, or have an adverse effect on, the following designated Local Green Spaces (mapped below, Fig. 6 and detailed in the environmental inventory), or their settings, will not be supported other than in very special circumstances.  St. Nicholas churchyard (Inventory map reference N39)  Valley bottom pasture (W06 east)  Fishponds pasture W02)  Manor fields S01/2/3)  Village allotments (W44)  Old gravel pit field ('village sledging hill') (N02, lower)	Policy Env 1, change to "The areas below are designated as areas of Local Green Space, which will be protected in a manner consistent with the protection of land within Green Belts."	The Framework is explicit in its requirement that policies for managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with those for Green Belts. As worded, Policy Env 1 does not have regard to this.
				Replace Figure 6 with a plan, or plans, to clearly identify all Local Green Space boundaries – the plans should be at a scale to ensure that no confusion could arise in respect of the precise location of each Local Green Space boundary	For clarity

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	- <b>I</b>		Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		I
			Figure 6. Local Green Space in South Kilworth		
			NOZ Llower  193  WG  1035  WG  Sol / 2/3  Sol / 2/3  Date Created: 1-2-2017   Map Certre   Extraphacting) -613337-/30330   Scale   3300   9 Crown capyright and distalases (plain of the company of the c		
19	Policy ENV 2	Important Open Space	POLICY ENV 2: IMPORTANT OPEN SPACE – All the following Important Open Spaces (map, Figure 7) will be safeguarded by ensuring that development does not compromise their integrity or value.  Leys Crescent playing field, bowling green and play area (OSSR: Outdoor sports facilities, Provision for children and young people) (inventory site N01) South Kilworth Primary School playing field and wooded banks (old gravel pit) (New: Outdoor sports facility, Natural and semi-natural greenspace) 'Village Green', Church Lane (OSSR: amenity	Change Policy Env 2 to "Development resulting in the loss of playing fields, allotments or land used for formal recreation will not be supported."	The wording of the Policy is centred around an ambiguous requirement for the "safeguarding" of sites, on the basis that "their integrity or value" is not compromised" by development. In the absence of clear definitions and

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	l l		Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <i>italics</i> .	•	
			greenspace) Churchyard of St Nicolas' church (OSSR: Cemeteries burial grounds) (N39) South Kilworth allotment gardens (New: allotment gardens) (W44)  Several sites have been identified for their outstanding community value through community consultations and fieldwork, and in Parish records; some are existing Open Space, Sport & Recreation (OSSR) sites (e.g. in Harborough District Council (HDC) Consultation documents for Local Green Space, Open Spaces Strategy and Provision for Open Space, Sport and Recreation (2015-2016) carried out in preparation for the HDC Local Plan (2017). The value of all these sites as open space within and close to the built-up areas and/or their current, or potential, value, as community resources are recognised in the following Policy.	Delete supporting text and replace with "The Neighbourhood Plan recognises the value to the community of its recreational areas and Policy Env 2 seeks to protect these."	supporting information, Policy Env 2 is vague and open to wide interpretation. It does not have regard to Paragraph 16 of the Framework in respect of providing a decision- maker with clarity.

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	1	1	Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.		
			Figure 7. Important Open Space  Playing field, Leys Crescent Play Area  Wooded banks  NO1  Bowling Green  Churchyard  Green  Churchyard  Frontage  Leys Crescent  Bowling Green  Churchyard  Green  Green  Churchyard  Green  Green  Green  Churchyard  Green  Green  Churchyard  Green  Green  Green  Green  Green  Churchyard  Green  Green	Delete Figure 7	
20	Policy ENV3	Protection of Important Views	POLICY ENV 3: PROTECTION OF IMPORTANT VIEWS - Development proposals should respect the open views and vistas identified below and in Figure 9 (above).	No changes proposed	Policy meets the Basic Conditions
			1. From North Road and The Belt south toward the Hemplow Hills 2. From points in the village on Welford Road and		

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	I		Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <i>italics</i> .		1
21	Policy ENV4	Sites of Locally High Environmental Significance	Dog Lane south to Stanford Reservoir and the Hemplow Hills and west to treeline on Rugby Road, taking in near views of the stream valley and Scheduled Monument earthworks  3. From Welford Road, at the southeast boundary of the village, east across open pasture and hedgerows to the parish boundary  4. From public footpath Y66B (west of Rugby Road) across the village to the east, the valley slopes to the east and beyond to open rolling country  5. From Walcote Road east and north across rolling open country to the parish boundary  6. From Stanford road east-southeast over Stanford Reservoir to the Hemplow Hills  7. From North Road northwest across rolling open country to Kilworth House.  POLICY ENV 4: SITES OF LOCALLY HIGH ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE — The sites shown on the map (Fig. 10) are of high local significance for biodiversity (habitats and species) and/or history.	Delete Policy Env 4, Figure 10 and supporting text	Policy Env 4 is vague and imprecise. It does not provide a decision maker with a clear
		Significance	They are important in their own right and are locally valued. Development proposals that affect them adversely will be expected to protect the identified features.		indication of how to react to a development proposal, having regard to Paragraph 16 of the Framework.

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.	·	
22	Policy ENV 5	Woodland, Trees and Hedges	POLICY ENV 5: WOODLAND, TREES AND HEDGES – Development proposals that result in the loss of trees of biodiversity, landscape, amenity or arboricultural value, or result in loss or damage to woodland, will not be supported. Hedgerows should be retained and protected.	Policy Env 5, change to "Development should not result in the loss of trees of biodiversity, landscape, amenity or arboricultural value, or result in loss or damage to woodland or hedgerows. Where such loss is unavoidable, development should demonstrate net gains in biodiversity, through the planting of new trees, woodland and hedgerows." (delete rest of Policy)	As set out, the Policy simply resists any development that results in loss. As such, it does not allow for a balanced approach to development that may, for example, provide for loss to be mitigated by replacement that could, itself, result in overall enhancement or net gains for biodiversity.
23	Policy ENV 6	Biodiversity and wildlife corridors	POLICY ENV 6: BIODIVERSITY AND WILDLIFE CORRIDORS - Development proposals will be expected to safeguard locally significant habitats and species, especially those protected by relevant English and European legislation, and, where possible, to create new habitats for wildlife. Permitted development in the Plan Area will be expected to protect and enhance the wildlife corridors mapped above and other potential habitat links. It should not create barriers to the permeability of the landscape for wildlife in general, or fragment populations of species of conservation concern.	Policy Env 6, change to "Development affecting the wildlife corridors identified on Figure 11 should not result in the creation of barriers to the permeability of the landscape for wildlife or lead to the fragmentation of populations of species of conservation concern. The conservation and/or enhancement of the identified wildlife corridors will be supported. Development impacting on biodiversity should secure measurable net gains for biodiversity."	The Policy does not provide a decision maker with a clear indication of how to react to a development proposal, having regard to Paragraph 16 of the Framework

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		
24	Policy ENV7	Ridge and Furrow Fields	POLICY ENV 7: RIDGE AND FURROW – Development proposals should seek to preserve the identified areas of well-preserved ridge and furrow (Figure 12 above) wherever possible.	Policy Env 7, change to "Development should conserve the identified areas of well-preserved ridge and furrow (Figure 12) in accordance with their significance."	Generally, the Policy has regard to national policy. However, as set out, it does not apply the relevant policy test for a balanced judgement to be applied by Paragraph 197 of the Framework, above.
25	Policy ENV 8	Flooding	POLICY ENV 8: FLOODING - Every development proposal of appropriate scale and where relevant will be required to demonstrate that:  a) The development and its occupants are safe from flooding for its lifetime; b) Its location takes geology, hydrology and flood risk into account, including undertaking a hydrogeology study whose findings must be complied with in respect of design, groundworks and construction; c) Its design includes, as appropriate, sustainable drainage systems (SuDS), surface water management measures and permeable surfaces; and d) It does not increase the risk of flooding to third parties.	Change Policy Env 8 to "Development should ensure that: a) the development; b) its design includesparties" (delete rest of Policy)	To correct imprecision of the policy
26	ENV9	Renewable Energy	POLICY ENV 9: RENEWABLE ENERGY - Proposals for small scale single wind turbines will be considered on their merits against the criteria established by	Delete Policy Env 9, supporting text and Figure 14	Policy Env 9 sets out how it will "strictly apply" policies that are

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommended in <b>bold print</b> , with any proposed	• •	1
			italics.		
			Harborough District Council. Due to the small size of		neither contained
			the parish, the character of the landscape and the		within, nor under the
			potential for adverse visual impacts on identified		control of the
			views and residents' amenity, the criteria will be		Neighbourhood Plan. In
			very strictly applied.		this regard, I also note
			Proposals for medium- or large-scale wind energy		that the Parish Council is
			developments will not be acceptable in the parish.		not the Local Planning
			Proposals for solar energy schemes will also be		Authority and does not
			considered by applying HDC criteria. They are most		have the power to
			likely to be acceptable in the small areas of flat		determine planning
			agricultural land in the parish that are well away		applications.
			from roads, houses and public rights of way and well		Part of the supporting
			enclosed by strong field boundaries or woodland.		text is worded in an
					unduly negative way,
					such that creates
					significant confusion.
					The Policy would
					support the
					consideration of
					proposals for small scale
					wind turbines but the
					supporting text states
					that it is unlikely that
					small scale wind energy
					schemes would be
					acceptable.

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.	·	
27	Policy ENV 10	Access and Rights of Way	POLICY ENV 10: ACCESS AND RIGHTS OF WAY - Development proposals that result in the loss of or have a significant effect on the existing rights of way will not be supported. Proposals to maintain, upgrade and, where appropriate, extend the pedestrian footpath network in the Parish will be supported, in order to: a) Service new developments and connect them to the existing pedestrian footpath network; b) Encourage walking over car use for making journeys within the parish and to key community facilities and amenities including the school; c) Provide an improved and more extensive footpath network to support exercise and leisure activities for South Kilworth residents and visitors; d) Extend the footpaths along Walcote Road and Rugby Road in order to develop footpath linkages within the village.	Policy Env 10, delete the unnecessary "the" in the second line and end the Policy "Parish will be supported."  Move points a) to d) to a new paragraph at the end of the supporting text, "Policy Env 10 is intended to support proposals to improve access and rights of way, including: a)  Servicevillage."	The last part of Policy Env 10 sets out various ways in which access and rights of way might be improved. However, no substantive evidence has been provided to demonstrate how any of these things might be delivered. Consequently, the information provides a helpful addition to the supporting text, rather than a land use planning policy requirement.
			As they are so limited in extent these routes are especially important and should be protected.	Supporting text, page 48, five lines up from bottom of page, delete "and should be protected" which reads as though the supporting text were a Policy, which it is not.	
28	Policy CF1	Retention of Community Facilities and	POLICY CF1: Retention of Community Facilities and Amenities - Proposals that will result in the loss of an existing community facility or amenity as listed	Policy CF1, change to "b) further to 12 months active and open marketing it can be demonstrated that the existing community	precision

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .		
29	Policy CF2	New or Improved Community Facilities	above will not be supported unless it can be demonstrated that:  a) there is no longer any need or demand for the existing community facility; or  b) the existing community facility is no longer economically viable as such; or  c) the proposal makes alternative provision for the relocation of the existing community facility to an equally or more appropriate and accessible location within the Parish.  POLICY CF2: NEW OR IMPROVED COMMUNITY FACILITIES — Proposals that improve the quality and/or range of community facilities, will be supported provided that the development:	Change Policy CF2 to "The development of new or the improvement of existing community facilities will be supported, provided that the development: a) Will notwishing to walk or	To correct ambiguity of the policy
30	Policy CF3	Expansion of	a) Will not result in unacceptable traffic movements or other disturbance to residential properties; b) Will not generate a need for parking that cannot be adequately catered for; c) Is of a scale appropriate to the needs of the locality and conveniently accessible for residents of the village wishing to walk or cycle; d) Takes into full account the needs of people with disabilities.  POLICY CF3: EXPANSION OF THE PRIMARY SCHOOL -	cycle; and d) Takesdisabilities."  Policy CF3, change to "will be supported	The final part of the
		the Primary School	Proposals for the expansion of the South Kilworth C of E Primary School, including a meeting room/sports facility for school use only, will be	subject to it not resulting in severe impacts on access, parking or highway safety."	Policy fails to provide for an appropriate planning balance

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
		1	Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <b>italics</b> .	• •	
			supported if the expansion would not create severe access related, parking or traffic circulation problems that cannot be mitigated, and does not have an adverse impact on existing community facilities.		
31	Policy CF4	Assets of Community Value	POLICY CF4: ASSETS OF COMMUNITY VALUE - Development that will result in the loss of, or has a significant adverse on, a designated Asset of Community Value will not be permitted unless in special circumstances, such as the Asset is replaced by an equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in an equally suitable location or it can be clearly demonstrated that it is not viable or no longer needed.	Delete Policy CF4 and supporting text	The Policy gives rise to uncertainty and does not provide a decision maker with a clear indication of how to react to a development proposal, having regard to Paragraph 16 of the Framework
32	Policy TR1	Traffic Management	POLICY TR1: TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT - With particular regard to the rural highway network of the parish and the need to minimise any increase in vehicular traffic all development must, where appropriate:  a) Be designed to minimise additional traffic generation and movement through the village, especially vehicles over 7.5 tonnes; b) Incorporate sufficient off-road parking; c) Not remove or compromise the use of any existing off-road parking areas unless a suitable equivalent alternative is provided; d) Provide any necessary improvements to site	Change Policy TR1 to "In the interests of highway safety, development should incorporate sufficient off-road parking; not remove or compromise the use of existing off-road parking unless a suitable equivalent alternative is provided; and provide for safe access."	To improve clarity of the policy  To remove matters that are outside the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason				
	Where modifications are recommended, they are presented in <b>bold print</b> , with any proposed new wording in <b>italics</b> .								
33	Policy E1	Last para on Page 59  Support for Existing Employment Opportunities	access and the highway network either directly or by financial contributions; and e) Consider, where appropriate, the improvement and where possible the creation of footpaths and cycleways.  A realistic objective for the Neighbourhood Plan is to address transport, roads and parking issues by policies that prevent exacerbation of the identified problems as a result of new housing developments and seek to improve the current situation.  POLICY E1: SUPPORT FOR EXISTING EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES Where planning permission is required, proposals that result in the loss of, or have a significant adverse effect on, an existing employment use will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that the site or building is not viable for employment uses, and has been marketed for at least a year or the commercial premises can be adequately relocated to an equally suitable location within the Parish.	Delete last paragraph of supporting text on page 59, which does not relate directly to the Policy  Policy E1, change to "employment use (excluding the residential allocation in Policy H1 of this Plan), will not be supported unless ityear or that the commercialParish."	The phrase "will not be permitted" runs the risk of pre-determining the planning application process.				
34	Policy E2	Support for New Business and Employment Opportunities	POLICY E2: SUPPORT FOR NEW BUSINESS AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES - The following types of employment development will be supported: a) The small-scale expansion or the relocation of	Policy E2, change to "The following types of employment development will be supported: the growth and expansion of existing businesses; and b) Small-scale new build development within or adjacent to South	the Policy runs the risk of supporting inappropriate development by allowing for the				
			existing employment premises across the parish;	Kilworth's Limits to Development;	"relocation of existing				

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
		I	Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.		I
			b) Small-scale new build development within or adjacent to South Kilworth village. In supporting additional employment opportunities, new development will be required to: a) Be of a size and scale not adversely affecting the character, infrastructure and environment of the village itself and the neighbourhood plan area, including the countryside; b) Not involve the loss of dwellings; c) Not increase noise levels or light pollution or introduce any pollution to an extent that they would unacceptably disturb occupants of nearby residential property; d) Not generate unacceptable levels of traffic movement and provide adequate parking.	In supportingproperty; and d) Not result in harm to highway safety."	employment parishes across the parish."  The final criterion of the Policy refers to "unacceptable levels of traffic" and "adequate parking" without defining what this might comprise. As such, this part of the Policy appears vague and imprecise
		Para 10.2	Employment proposals should only be seen as acceptable if they avoid harmful impacts on other matters agreed to be locally important such as increased traffic flows, parking, residential amenity, the preservation of historic/heritage assets and the local environment.	Delete final sentence of supporting text, which reads as though it comprises a Policy, which it does not (and which in any case, fails to provide for the balanced consideration of development proposals)	Does not meet the Basic Conditions

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <i>italics</i> .		
35	Policy E3	Home Working	POLICY E3: WORKING FROM HOME - Proposals for the use of part of a dwelling for office and/or light industrial uses, and for small scale free standing buildings, extensions to the dwelling or conversion of outbuildings for those uses, will be supported in line with Policy E2.  Any extension or free-standing building shall be designed having regard to policies in this Plan and should not detract from the quality and character of the building to which they are subservient by reason of height, scale, massing, location or the facing materials used in their construction.	Delete Policy E3 and supporting text	No detailed evidence has been provided to demonstrate that such an approach would, in all cases, ensure that sufficient regard would be given to the residential amenity of neighbours.  Various forms of development, including much of that related to home working, does not require planning permission.  Policy E3 runs the risk of supporting forms of development that do not contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose <i>italics</i> .		
36	Policy E4	Farm Diversification	POLICY E4: FARM DIVERSIFICATION - In order to support farm diversification and the sustainable growth and expansion of businesses, the conversion of existing agricultural buildings will be supported where:  a) The use proposed is appropriate to the rural location and respects the local character of the surrounding area; b) The development will not have an adverse impact on any archaeological, architectural, historic or environmental features; c) The local road system is capable of accommodating the traffic generated by the proposed new use and adequate parking can be accommodated within the site; d) There is no significant adverse impact on neighbours - for example: through noise, light or other pollution, increased traffic levels or increased flood risk.	Policy E4, change to "The use proposed respects local character, residential amenity and highway safety; and b) the development conserves heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance." (delete rest of Policy)	National policy does not simply seek to prevent any development that has "an adverse impact" on heritage assets or the environment, but provides for a balanced consideration, having regard to sustainability.  Criterion c) of the Policy is vague, in referring to "capable of accommodating" and "adequate" and the final Criterion reads as an incomplete catch-all that fails to provide for a balanced consideration of harm and benefits.
37	Policy E5	Mobile and Telecommunic ation	POLICY E5: MOBILE AND TELECOMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE - Proposals to provide increased infrastructure in general and access to a super-fast	Policy E5, delete "and not be located in or near open landscapes."	This requirement is open to wide interpretation and is

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	1		Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose italics.	· · · · ·	
		Infrastructure	broadband service (currently at least 30 MBPS but to include future developments at present unforeseen) and improve the mobile telecommunication network that will serve businesses and other properties within the Parish will be supported. This may require above ground network installations, which must be sympathetically located and designed to integrate into the landscape and not be located in or near open landscapes.		unnecessary, given the need for development to be sympathetically located and designed to integrate with the landscape
38	10.6	Tourism Page 64	These proposals should be treated in line with the new business development policies.	Delete last sentence on page 64 ("Thesepolicies.")	The last sentence on page 64 reads as though it comprises a Policy requirement, which it does not.
39	11	Infrastructure	community development. This Neighbourhood Plan will help to define and prioritize where spending of these funds is most needed and most desired.	Page 65, last para, change to "community development. The Community Actions identified in Section 13 provide an indication of the priorities in respect where the spending of these funds might be most needed and most desired."  Delete para of supporting text on page 66	For Clarity
40	Appendix 1	Design Guide	Appendix 1 Design Guide 1. New development should enhance and reinforce the local distinctiveness of the local area and	Do not append the "Design Guide" to the Neighbourhood Plan	Taking into account the consideration of the Neighbourhood Plan's Design

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
	<u> </u>		Where modifications are recommende		
			in <b>bold print</b> , with any proposed	d new wording in	
			proposals should show clearly, within the Design and		Policy (Policy H7) earlier
			Access Statement(s), how the general character,		in this Report.
			scale, density and layout of the site, building or		iii tiiis keport.
			extension fits in with the "grain" and character of		Its "requirements"
			the surrounding area, and in particular the variety of		appear confusing and
			house styles;		unsupported by
			2. No new development will be supported if it has a		evidence in respect of
			significant negative effect on any Village amenity		deliverability, and
			(such as pub, church, village hall, footpaths,		detract from the land
			environment; views, recreational areas);		use planning policy
			3. For multi-house developments that include		requirements of the
			affordable homes: clusters of no more than 3		Neighbourhood Plan.
			affordable homes must be pepper- potted around		Weighbourhood Fluin.
			the development and not all placed together;		
			4. Clusters of uniform type and size of housing will		
			not be acceptable;		
			5. If new development is either adjacent to, or in the		
			curtilage of a historically important building the		
			proposals should show how the importance of such		
			a building and its setting have been taken into		
			account;		
			6. The materials proposed in the construction of any		
			new development must reflect the character and		
			context of the adjacent listed and locally important		
			buildings within the parish. Elevations should be		
			primarily of brick to complement the historic brick		
			used in the vicinity. The use of render is supported		

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommended	d, they are presented	
			in <b>bold print</b> , with any proposed <i>italics</i> .	new wording in	
			when highlighting architectural features and panels;		
			7. Within any new multi-house development, houses		
			should be linked by a consistent theme, but		
			individual dwellings should not be the same in		
			appearance;		
			8. Sensitive use of oak frame is acceptable when		
			appropriate to the setting;		
			9. Sensitive use of large windows is acceptable when		
			appropriate to the setting;		
			10. Dwelling heights should generally be restricted		
			to one or two storeys except where a third floor is		
			extended into the roof line with the use of dormer		
			windows. Any dwelling of above the local average		
			height should be part of a varied scheme,		
			proportionate in scale, sympathetic to the		
			topography of the surroundings and not		
			overbearing;		
			11. Gated developments are not supported;		
			12. Garages should be constructed to match with the		
			dwelling materials;		
			13. Boundaries should be of a rustic or traditional		
			nature. Wherever possible plots should be enclosed		
			by native hedging, or a brick wall, or iron railings of a		
			rural character.		
			14. High (6-foot plus) close boarded timber fences		
			should be avoided where they have a high visibility from a public area although may be acceptable		
			mom a public area although may be acceptable		

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommended	l, they are presented	
			in <b>bold print</b> , with any proposed <i>italics</i> .	new wording in	
			between buildings; New development should always		
			seek to retain existing trees on and adjacent to the		
			site. Any trees that are unavoidably lost should be		
			replaced by an equivalent number of the same or		
			similar species.		
			15. Wherever possible existing hedges should be		
			retained and incorporated into new development		
			schemes. Where unavoidably lost new planting		
			should be incorporated to compensate for this. For		
			new multi-house developments, landscape designs		
			that include tree and hedge planting to provide		
			nesting and autumn berries and fruit will be		
			supported		
			16. Landscape treatment of new development		
			should reflect the character of the village and its		
			surroundings with the emphasis on using native		
			hedging plants and trees that together with open		
			spaces will link the village with the countryside and		
			support biodiversity;		
			17. New dwellings should have gardens and open		
			spaces appropriate in size for a rural location and		
			the number of occupants;		
			18. For new multi-house developments, the		
			provision of a well-placed green space would be		
			supported as a shared resource for local residents;		
			19. Where possible grass verges should be retained.		
			Where verge parking is unavoidable, the surface		

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommended		
			in <b>bold print</b> , with any proposed <i>italics</i> .	new wording in	
			should comprise stone blocks that allow grass to		
			grow through to give the perception of grass verges;		
			20. Red coloured paving bricks in driveways are		
			considered inappropriate and should be avoided in		
			preference to neutral coloured bricks, gravel or		
			other traditional materials;		
			21. Dwellings should each have an appropriate		
			external bin storage area to accommodate three		
			standard sized wheelie bins;		
			22. New development should incorporate		
			sustainable drainage systems with appropriate		
			maintenance regimes to minimize vulnerability to		
			flooding and other effects of climate change;		
			23. Design principles should recognize the		
			importance of new technology		
			and product design that will not only help new		
			development towards a goal of carbon neutrality,		
			but also help in the unobtrusive evolution of such		
			renewable technology materials so that they		
			seamlessly blend into the character of the village.		
			The use of new green technologies is supported;		
			24. Adequate attention to building orientation for		
			natural light, thermal insulation and green		
			technology should be implicit in all design proposals;		
			25. Roof designs where the developer takes into		
			account the space required, direction and elevation		
			for fitting by the homeowner of solar panels will be		

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

d, they are presented	
new wording in	
_	

Appendix A – Summary of Examiner Recommendations, South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Ref no	Policy No.	Policy Title	Submission Draft Policy/Text	Suggested Revised Policy Text	Reason
			Where modifications are recommend in <b>bold print</b> , with any propose		
			italics.	ed new wording in	
			cars are parked. The number of charging points to be appropriate for the size of dwelling; 34. Provision of bird and bat boxes will be supported; 35. Provision of a pond or boggy area for amphibians, birds and mammals would be supported.		
41		General	Entire Plan	Update the contents, page, policy, paragraph and figure numbering, taking into account the recommendations contained in this Report.	The recommendations made in this Report will have a subsequent impact on contents, page, policy, paragraph and figure numbering.