REPORT TO THE GOVERNANCE & AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING ON: 03 MARCH 2021

Status: For Information

Title: Upheld Complaints: Local Government and Social Care

Ombudsman 2019-2020

Originator: Director Law and Governance and Monitoring Officer

Where from: N/A

Where to

N/A

next:

1 Purpose of report

- 1.1 The report is for information purposes only. It is comprised of information relating to the upheld complaints where the Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman [LGSCO] has found fault in how the Council has handled the material complaint. Such fault can be process based and not restricted to the original reason for the complaint's submission.
- 1.2 The report captures Ombudsman complaint data from the financial year 2019/20, to give an indication of the LGSCO's findings over that period of time. A copy of the LGSCO's Annual Report is attached as Appendix A.
- 1.3 The Council has 7 recorded LGSCO complaints between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020. 2 Cases have resulted in an 'upheld' decision. This represents a 28.57% uphold rate, which is below the national annual average of around 54%.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Committee receives and notes the content of this report for information purposes.
- 2.2 Ombudsman level complaints are routinely evaluated in order to understand any trends which may indicate systemic service failures.
- 2.3 Ombudsman recommendations are implemented following the receipt of an upheld complaint outcome.
- 2.4 Annual report to Audit and Standards Committee detailing the previous year's upheld complaints and outcomes.

- 3 Summary of Reasons for the Recommendations
- 3.1 The above recommendations are suggested as a complaint control and monitoring mechanism, which allows for complaint trend evaluation, highlights any service specific systemic issues and allows for the promotion of service improvement.
- 4 <u>Impact on Communities</u>
- 4.1 None arising from this report.
- 5 Key Facts
- 5.1 Over the period in question, the Council has received 2 LGSCO complaint responses which were upheld. This means that following an investigation the Ombudsman has decided that there was sufficient failure to find fault in the way in which the Council either dealt with the complaint at issue, or with processes surrounding the matter of complaint.
- 5.1.2 The Council has 7 recorded LGSCO complaints between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020. The 2 cases which were 'upheld' represents a 28.57% uphold rate, which is below the national annual average of around 54%, when considering the total number of case referrals. However, owing to the way in which the LGSCO records its statistics, although they received 7 referrals from Harborough District residents, they only record the number of complaints where they carry out detailed investigations. As they only conducted 2 full investigations from the submitted 7 cases and upheld 2, this results in a 100% uphold rate.

Case details:

- 5.2 **Complaint 18012739**: Decision: 19 June 2018. Complaint regarding the use of Debt Recovery Agents for NNDR debt owed not by the Complainant. The debtor was residing at the Complainant's address when recovery actions were undertaken. The Complainant payed the outstanding amount even though the debt was not in their name. The Complainant alleged that they felt coerced into payment under threat of losing some of their (not the debtor's) personal possessions by the enforcement agents at that time.
- 5.2.1 Summary of findings: There was no fault in seeking payment of the outstanding amount, but there was fault in the way the Enforcement Agent pressed for proof of the Complainant's ownership of goods at the address in question. There was no reflection of the individual circumstances i.e. the Complainant had lived there for many years and receipts for goods would be highly unlikely.

- 5.2.2 HDC expressed its opinion that the investigator's conclusion was not correct in that the Agent must be free to place some pressure on debtors or it could create a vehicle for debt avoidance. This argument was not accepted by the LGSCO who concluded that the Agent was at fault. A compensation of £150 was suggested as recompense.
- 5.2.3 There were no further actions required of in relation to this complaint.
- 5.3 **Complaint 19001632**: Decision: 24 December 2019. Complaint regarding the investigation of a complaint in 2017 submitted and processed under the Member Complaints Process regarding an issue with a local Parish Council and its membership.
- 5.3.1 Summary of findings: The LGSCO found fault in the delays which were found to be unacceptable during the processing of the complaint. It was also highlighted that the there was a lack of contemporaneous notes from the independent investigators appointed to carry out the fact finding exercises in question. The Council was also criticised for not providing a formal response to a complaint tabled in 2017 and for not allowing the Complainant to comment on the draft decision.

5.3.2 Recommended actions:

- The Council should issue an apology for the delays and lack of record keeping.
- The Council should issue a formal response to the 2017 complaint as tabled.
- A Compensation payment of £250 should be made for the inconvenience cause by having to pursue the matter.
- The Council should review its process of allowing a Complainant to comment on a draft complaint response.
- The Council should review its investigative procedures in terms of keeping contemporaneous notes.
- The Council should review is procedure for investigating Code of Conduct Complaints.
- 5.3.3 The Council did not accept the findings at first instance since the matter itself was never referred for formal investigation. The Council maintained that it conducted several fact finding exercises which resulted in a 'no case to answer' result. As such the Council considered it had acted in accordance with its processes. As no recommendation was made to investigate the material issue, the finding of fault was firmly rebutted by the Council.
- 5.3.4 Although HDC maintains that no formal investigative procedures were instituted, it accepted the LGSCO's position that it could have done more to communicate the outcomes of the fact finding exercises and has taken the Ombudsman's recommendations on board in respect of that. The Council also expressed regret that this matter has taken time to resolve and apologised for any general inconvenience caused during the processing of the complaint.

- 5.3.5 The Council updated its Code of Conduct Complaints procedure in early 2019, before the decision on this complaint was issued. LGSCO was informed of this amendment and expressed satisfaction with the actions the Council had taken. This was not reflected in the decision issued above. The Council did make representation to the LGSCO as a result.
- 5.3.6 All actions in relation to this outcomes of this complaint have been completed.
- 6 <u>Legal Issues</u>
- 6.1 None arising from this report.
- 7 Resource Issues
- 7.1 None arising from this report.
- 8 Equality Implications
- 8.1 None arising from this report.
- 9 Impact on the Organisation
- 9.1 None arising from this report.
- 10 Community Safety Implications
- 10.1 None arising from this report.
- 11. <u>Carbon Management Implications</u>
- 11.1 None arising from this report.
- 12. Risk Management Implications
- 12.1 None arising from this report.
- 13 Consultation
- 13.1 N/A
- 14 Options Considered
- 14.1 N/A
- 15 Background Papers
- 15.1 N/A

Previous report(s): March 2020

Information Issued Under Sensitive Issue Procedure: *N* Ward Members Notified: *N*

Appendix A: Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman [LGSCO] Annual Report