

Appendix B - Local List of Non-Designated Heritage Assets Consultation Responses, page 1 of 4

id	subobj	summary	action	text	reps
2198	Comment	On behalf of Claybrooke Parva Parish Council, I would like to nominate Claybrooke School, Main Road, Claybrooke Parva as a Non-designated Heritage Asset, please see attached Word document for a summary and the other attachments for supporting information.	Prepare entry for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	Thank you for nominating Claybrooke School, this will be prepared for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	8294
2197	Comment	Dear Harborough Planning On behalf of Claybrooke Parva Parish Council, I would like to nominate The Belfry (Old Vicarage), Main Road, Claybrooke Parva as a Non-designated Heritage Asset, please see attached Word document for a summary and the other attachments for supporting information. Many thanks Neil Blackhall Chairman, Claybrooke Parva Parish Council	Prepare entry for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	Thank you for nominating The Belfry, this will be prepared for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	8293
2196	Comment	The LLR Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) are supportive of the vision set out in your email dated the 8th July 2021 and would want to work collaboratively with yourselves to understand in more detail how the local NHS can contribute to its delivery.	N/A	Thank you for considering the document and for your support. There are no issues that need further consideration. HDC will continue to notify CCG on all future planning policy consultations.	8275
2195	Comment	We would not encourage the addition of the 'Carmel' Baptist Chapel to your list, but we would encourage the addition of Waller Bedingfield's house and the Old Rectory at Misterton. We have offered some context in which to consider the special interest of the Northampton Road Cemetery.	Revise entry for Carmel Baptist Chapel.	With limited access to archival records during the pandemic it has not been possible to assess whether the architect of the Chapel was of local interest. Within the HDC district this is an unusually decorative example of a non-conformist chapel. The list entry will be updated to reflect this and reflect the industrial nature of C19th Fleckney.	8271
2194	Comment	The introduction and summary sections lack consistency in their inclusion of archaeological sites and historic landscapes. It is unclear how the independent panel will be constituted and how it will be used, notably in respect of sites at the 'lower end of the approval threshold'. The scoring system is not detailed in full, and seems most applicable to buildings/structures, significantly less so to archaeological sites. The conflation of rarity and representativeness is not well explained/justified. The lack of recognition of the County HER, the primary and most completed curated register of heritage assets in the district is an omission.	Revise introduction to Local List document.	The HDC Local List is an ongoing project which does not claim to cover all parishes or all types of heritage asset. The introduction has been revised to clearly indicate that this is not a comprehensive list and that other non-designated heritage assets are in Neighbourhood Plans or listed on the Leicestershire Historic Environment Record. The scoring framework for all sites and structures, including historic landscapes and archaeological sites is outlined in Appendix A of the Local List document. The selection panel reserves the right to co-opt members with specialist knowledge of particular asset types (eg representative from The Gardens Trust or Industrial History Society) or seek advice from bodies such as Leicestershire County Council Historic Environment team in respect of archaeological sites.	8274
2193	Comment	The list presents examples of buildings/structures that might feature on the list, as such it is limited in breadth, spread and doesn't address asset types otherwise referred to in the supporting information, notably designed landscapes and archaeological sites. Carefully consideration needs to be given to the preparation of the list, to ensure the 'local' objective of the list is achieved, also to reflect to existing management and curation of the historic environment. The lack of reference to the Leicestershire & Rutland Historic Environment Record is a gap, given its role and coverage, especially in relation to archaeological sites and landscapes.	Revise introduction to Local List document.	The HDC Local List is an ongoing project which does not claim to cover all parishes or all types of heritage asset. Additions will be made to the list and nominations are welcome at any time. As the list develops the geographic representation and the breadth of assets and asset types will increase. The introduction has been revised to clearly indicate that this is not a comprehensive list and that other non-designated heritage assets are in Neighbourhood Plans or listed on the Leicestershire Historic Environment Record.	8273
2192	Comment	No. The initial 16 entries do not reflect the breadth of Historic England's listing selection guides. Considering NW Leicestershire's list of local heritage assets, I am surprised that your list does not include any culture and entertainment buildings or any education buildings.	Any assets specifically nominated will be considered in future updates.	The HDC Local List is an ongoing project which does not claim to cover all parishes or all types of heritage asset. Additions will be made to the list and nominations are welcome at any time. As the list develops the geographic representation and the breadth of assets and asset types will increase.	8270
2191	Comment	No. You have not included any from the villages that had a BBC TV series about them - the Kibworth parishes - Kibworth Harcourt, Kibworth Beauchamp and Smeeton Westerby. Despite there being many listed buildings in these villages there are additional heritage buildings that should be included e.g. Lychgate at entrance to cemetery on A6 in Kibworth Harcourt that was built and completed in 1894.	Prepare entry for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	The HDC Local List is an ongoing project which does not claim to cover all parishes or all types of heritage asset. Additions will be made to the list and nominations are welcome at any time. Thank you for the nomination of the Kibworth Harcourt Lychgate, this will be prepared for presentation to the next selection panel in Spring 2022.	8268

2190	Comment	<p>1.The full address of the heritage asset you wish to nominate, including the parish in which it is located â€” if it is a remote site you may wish to include a map. The Lychgate, Kibworth Cemetery, A6 Harborough Road, Kibworth Harcourt</p> <p>2.Date of construction â€” if you don't have the precise date please provide an approximate date. Construction may have been in phases so provide as much detail as possible. 1894 by Edward Mason</p> <p>3.The original purpose for which the site was constructed and how it is used today. Lychgate means corpse entrance, so coffins or shrouded bodies were brought by the sexton on a hand drawn cart (or bier) from one of the three churches in the Kibworths (Congregational, Methodist or St Wilfridâ€™s Anglican) to rest inside the building until the interment took place. There are benches inside the Lychgate for mourners or funeral staff. It is no longer used for this purpose, so has been locked up for a number of years, but has recently had electricity connected for lighting and is being considered as a local small museum and meeting place for the Kibworth Joint Burial Board.</p> <p>4.Reasons for your nomination â€” not all the assessment criteria apply to all heritage assets, but please tell us how your nomination meets the criteria. If you have an historical or contemporary written record to support your nomination, please include it or provide the reference details so that we can look at it. A lychgate building of this size and quality is quite rare and the Burial Board have an ecclesiastical, architectural survey being carried out in July 2021.</p> <p>5.Please attach an exterior photograph of your nomination. 2 attached â€” one exterior, and 1 interior.</p>	Prepare entry for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	Thank you for nominating the Lychgate, this will be prepared for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	8285
2189	Comment	<p>I attach a copy of my report, Foxton Heritage Project, which details every building in Foxton village up to July 2021.</p> <p>I also enclose some other documents which give information on the past socio-economic data. Also other information which you may find of interest.</p>	N/A	Thank you for submitting this comprehensive survey. This is useful background information which provides further historical context on Foxton, which could be used by HDC for future reference.	8284
2188	Comment	Can we designate a disused railway line and bridges as HAâ€™s and if so how do we go about it. The stretch of line referred to runs from Broughton Astley to Ullesthorpe and was part of the original main line from Leicester to London built in the 1830â€™s	Entry to be prepared for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	Thank you for nominating the disused railway line and bridges. Research will be undertaken to prepare appropriate assets for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	8283
2187	Comment	<p>Many thanks for your consultation e-mail relating to the following documents:</p> <p>â€”Shearsby Conservation Area Appraisal</p> <p>â€”Local List of Non-Designated Heritage Assets</p> <p>We have undertaken a review of the documents and as neither demonstrates an adverse impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network (SRN), we would not wish to provide any further comment at this time.</p> <p>Thank you for consulting us and we will continue to work with yourselves going forward.</p>	N/A	Thank you for considering the document. As there is no adverse impact on the SRN, no further action is required.	8282
2186	Comment	<p>HARBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL LOCAL LIST OF NON-DESIGNATED HERITAGE ASSETS CONSULTATION</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above consultation.</p> <p>In terms of the methodology for assessment of potential assets we refer you to our advice note on Local Heritage Listing: https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/what-is-designation/local/local-designations/</p> <p>We note that the local list would sit well with the provisions and intentions of the Adopted Local Plan in respect of the historic environment, and this is welcomed.</p> <p><u>We hope that these comments are of use to you at this time.</u></p>	N/A	Thank you for considering the document and for your support. There are no issues that need further consideration.	8281
2185	Comment	The LLR Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) are supportive of the vision set out in your email dated the 8th July 2021 and would want to work collaboratively with yourselves to understand in more detail how the local NHS can contribute to its delivery.	N/A	Thank you for considering the document and for your support. There are no issues that need further consideration. HDC will continue to notify CCG on all future planning policy consultations.	8280

2184	Comment	<p>Dear Sir/Madam Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.</p> <p>Natural England does not consider that this Harborough District Council "Local List of Non-Designated Heritage Assets" poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to our statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation.</p> <p>The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment. Other bodies and individuals may wish to make comments that might help the Local Planning Authority (LPA) to fully take account of any environmental risks and opportunities relating to this document.</p> <p>If you disagree with our assessment of this proposal as low risk, or should the proposal be amended in a way which significantly affects its impact on the natural environment, then in accordance with Section 4 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006, please consult Natural England again.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Dawn Kinrade Consultations Team Operations Delivery Natural England</p>	N/A	Thank you for considering the document. There are no issues that need further consideration. HDC will continue to notify Natural England on all future planning policy consultations.	8279
2183	Comment	<p>I nominate The Tower House Gumley 1. Full address:- The Tower House, Main Street, Gumley, MARKET HARBOROUGH, LE16 7RU 2. Built 1870 3. Originally part of the stables to Gumley Hall and contains a clock and large water tank to supply the horses. See:- Gumley British History Online (british-history.ac.uk) 4. Reasons for Nomination - Its not listed but should be, Prominent, Well known, Historic Interest, Age, Rarity, Landmark Status. 5. Photos attached</p> <p>Kind regards, John March (Chairman of Gumley Parish Meeting)</p>	Prepare entry for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	Thank you for nominating The Tower House, this will be prepared for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	8278
2182	Comment	<p>I would like to nominate Husbands Bosworth Airfield as a non-designated heritage asset to be included on the Local List. Address: Husbands Bosworth Airfield, Sibbertoft Road, Leicestershire, LE17 6JJ. Parish: Husbands Bosworth Date of Construction: The airfield was constructed in 1942/43 by George Wimpey Ltd Original Purpose: The airfield was named RAF Husbands Bosworth, originally built as a satellite airfield to RAF Market Harborough. It came under 92 Group RAF and was home to No 14 Operational Training Unit, later re-badged as No 85 OTU. Flying commenced in 1943 training mainly on Vickers Wellington aircraft. The airfield was decommissioned in 1946 and post war the buildings were used by the National Assistance Board to house Polish displaced persons and other refugees. Part of the site is used today as a private airfield by The Gliding Centre, hosting National and International gliding competitions. Other areas reverted to farm land. Reasons for Nomination: The airfield is a remnant of the war time infrastructure, with contemporary records and photographs held on site. There is a Role of Honour in the club house to the flight crew who lost their lives while operating from the airfield. There are buildings on adjacent land dating from the RAF use, notably 2 Nissan huts to the North of the airfield and the remains of the control tower and other buildings on the South side of the Sibbertoft Road. Other fragments include the signal square and parts of concrete runways and aprons. RAF Husbands Bosworth is one of 14 Leicestershire RAF stations active during World War 2 and has links to other contemporary sites in the county, notably RAF Market Harborough and designated pill box ref WIT/001 in the local region. It is also recorded by the Airfields of Britain Conservation Trust, and Leicester University have included the Roll of Honour on the site as part of their Poppy Pilgrimage commemorating those who lost their lives in wartime.</p>	Prepare entry for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	Thank you for nominating the Gliding Centre this will be prepared for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	8277

2181	Comment	<p>I would like to nominate The Bath House, Cream Cottage, Main Road, Claybrooke Parva as a Non-designated Heritage Asset, please see attached Word document for a summary and the other attachments for supporting information.</p> <p>Built in the second quarter of the 19th Century. A rare and unusual example of a 19th Century communal bathing facility (see attached photographs).</p> <p>The bath house is a brick building with a clay tile roof, measuring 7 x 3 metres. It has two bays inside it, the first of which is a heated chamber 2.5 metres square, with a cast iron fireplace. The second room is a bath chamber, which contains a 2.9 x 1.7 metre plunge pool. The pool has four steps leading down to it and is surrounded by slabs of what may be marble.</p> <p>Believed to have been used by the pupils of Milton College (private school for boys, Ullesthorpe) to bath in each Sunday before attending church.</p> <p>Reason for nomination Not explicitly mentioned in the listing of Cream Cottage - see CREAM COTTAGE, Claybrooke Parva - 1209155 Historic England and the use and character of the Bath house building is completely distinct from the principal listed residential building. A rare and unusual example of a 19th Century communal bathing house.</p> <p>1 HER reference MLE10602 2 Claybrooke Parva, Bath House, Cream Cottage, Leicestershire - The Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings Archives (spab.org.uk)</p>	Prepare entry for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	Thank you for nominating the Bath House, this will be prepared for presentation to the selection panel in Spring 2022.	8276
2180	Comment	Additional information on a number (7) of the nominated sites is available on the Leicestershire and Rutland Historic Environment Record (HER) additional information can be obtained on request.	Entry to be reviewed.	Thank you for notifying us of additional information on this asset.	8272
2179	Comment	Northampton Road Cemetery was not laid out in 1870. The burial board was formed in 1875 (White, 1877) and the cemetery was opened in 1878 (Kelly, 1891). The County Record Office has records relating to Market Harborough Cemetery 1875-2009 (DE8506/333-362). A review of these records may reveal the identities of the surveyor and builder.	Entry to be updated	Thank you for sending additional historical information. This is appreciated and will be incorporated into the Local List entry.	8269
2178	Comment	<p>There are over 1000 conservation areas in the East Midlands, a testament to the enduring popularity of this designation as a means of protecting the historic environment. While we do not provide detailed advice on every appraisal and management plan due to resource implications, if there are specific issues that would merit our closer involvement on this occasion please advise us of this.</p> <p>The policy considerations relating to conservation area designation and appraisal are dealt with extensively in the NPPF and its associated guidance. For general advice, our publication Conservation Area Designation, Appraisal and Management is available online from our website.</p>	N/A	Thank you for considering the document and for offering your support. There are no issues that need further consideration.	8288
2177	Comment	The LLR Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) are supportive of the vision set out in your email dated the 8th July 2021 and would want to work collaboratively with yourselves to understand in more detail how the local NHS can contribute to its delivery.	N/A	Thank you for considering the document and for your support. There are no issues that need further consideration. HDC will continue to notify CCG on all future planning policy consultations.	8287
2176	Comment	<p>FAO Strategic Planning and Development Management</p> <p>Dear Sir or Madam</p> <p>Many thanks for your consultation e-mail relating to the following documents:</p> <p>•Shearsby Conservation Area Appraisal •Local List of Non-Designated Heritage Assets</p> <p>We have undertaken a review of the documents and as neither demonstrates an adverse impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network (SRN), we would not wish to provide any further comment at this time.</p> <p>Thank you for consulting us and we will continue to work with yourselves going forward.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Steve Freek Assistant Spatial Planner Highways England (Area 7), Stirling House, Lakeside Court, Osier Drive, Sherwood Business Park Nottingham NG15 0DS Tel: 0300 470 4457</p>	N/A	Thank you for considering the document and confirming that there are no adverse effects on the SRN. HDC has no further comment.	8286